



## Evolution and Religion... or religion and religion?

### No-Life?

When was the last time you heard anyone talk about the evolution of rocks, gravity, electricity, water, gasses, etc. Things with no life cause serious difficulty for the evolutionists.

Evolutionists assume these things were in place for life to have been formed. They are right about one thing...without these things there can be no life. But, even scientists **concede** that the possibilities of life coming from inorganic material is zero.

*"To make an organism demands the right substances in the right proportions and in the right arrangement. We do not think that anything more is needed- but that is problem enough. One has only to contemplate the magnitude of this task to **concede** that the spontaneous generation of a living organism is **impossible**. Yet here we are, as a result, I believe, of spontaneous generation."* (Dr. Wald, The Origin of Life, Scientific American, Sept. 1958)

Can anyone take these people seriously?

But, there is a fundamental problem with all this. Even if spontaneous generation of life was possible, where did the complex inorganic things that caused the spontaneous generation come from? Can you imagine how electricity (energy) just happened by chance?

To believe all the materials and natural laws necessary for life appeared spontaneously would seem to take **more faith** than to believe it was created. Since faith is a part of religion it would seem reasonable to conclude that we are dealing with **religion** and religion instead of **evolution** and religion.

Uncle Noah

[childrensbiblestudy.com](http://childrensbiblestudy.com)